KINETIC DETERMINATION OF GOLD(III) BASED ON ITS INHIBITORY EFFECT IN OXIDIZING HOMOGENEOUS REACTION SYSTEM
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ABSTRACT

Development and application of a simple, fast, green analytical kinetic method for the determination of micro-amounts of gold(III) ions, were presented in this paper. The method was based on the inhibitory effect of traces of Au(III) ions on the redox reaction between disodium-6-hydroxy-5-[(4-sulfophenyl)azo]-2-naphtalenesulfonate (artificial azo-dye "Sunset Yellow FCF", E110 in further text selected as SY) and hydrogenperoxide, in alkaline media of borate buffer. All experimental parameters affecting to the determination: reagent concentrations, pH and temperature were investigated and optimised. Working experimental conditions are set according to the highest sensitivity of the proposed kinetic method for the determination of Au(III) ions. The linearity of the method was obtained within the range 1.97-59.07 μg/mL of Au(III) ions with relative standard deviation of 3.66-0.43 %, respectively. The influence by possible interference effects by major ions on the determination of Au(III) and their limits are investigated, at the constant Au(III) concentration of 39.39 μg/mL. The results of Au(III) determination in samples obtained by proposed kinetic method and comparative ICP-OES method were statistically agreeable. Obtained results for Au(III) determination in analyzed samples, as well as the development and validation of the proposed analytical procedure have given and discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Chemistry of gold is still the subject of research in scientific articles, not only because of its beauty and rarity and usage in jewelry, coinage and economics. Many properties of a gold(III) and gold-nanoparticles as enzyme inhibitor, vehicles for delivery of cancer drugs, antirheumatics based on its coordination and valence state, in many electron transfer processes ((Chandrakant et al., 2016), (Narkhede et al., 2016), (Yang et al., 2016), (Pyrzyńska et al., 2006). Modern sophisticated techniques such as inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Juvonen et al., 2002), flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS), electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETA-AAS), inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) (Butul et al., 2011), and other spectrophotometric methods involving chromogenic reagents such as morin, quercetin (Balcerzak et al., 2006), Spheron (R) and (biphenyl) dimethanethiol, (Behpour et al., 2005) etc. and electroanalytical techniques (square wave voltammetry-SWV, differential pulse voltammetry-DPV etc.) (Butul et al., 2011) are the most commonly used techniques in the determination of gold at low levels. UV–Vis spectrophotometric methods ((Chen et al., 2006), (Kamble et al., 2010)) are remarkable for their simplicity and versatility. Other sensitive techniques such as neutron activation analysis (NAA) (Nat et al., 2004) or total reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (TXRF) (Messerschmidt et al., 2000) are less often applied in gold determination due to the complexity and cost of the required instrumentation.

Despite the large number of modern analytical techniques, kinetic methods still are used in real samples in the context of the study of the mechanism and rate of the chemical reactions. Also kinetic methods are preferred in terms of cost the experiment.

In this study simple and fast kinetic-spectrophotometric method was developed, based on inhibitory effect of gold(III). Experimental conditions were optimized as follow: C_{SY}=4\cdot10^{-5} \text{ mol/L}; \text{CH}_2\text{O}_2=0.4 \text{ mol/L}; \text{pH}=10.5; t=25.0±0.1°C. Measurements were done at the wavelength of absorbance maximum of the indicator substance-
artificial color "Sunset Yellow FCF, at $\lambda_{max} = 478.4$ nm.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Apparatus

Spectrophotometric measurements were performed on Perkin-Elmer Lambda 15 UV-Vis spectrophotometer, using cylindrical cell thermostatted at 25.0±0.1°C. A Julabo MP-5A model thermostatic bath was used to maintain the reaction temperature at 25.0±0.1°C. A pH meter (Hanna pH-210) with a glass electrode was employed for measuring pH values in the aqueous solution. 01 and pH of 4.00 ± 0.01, were used. iCAP 6000 ICP-OES, Thermo Scientific, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

2.2. Reagents and Solutions

Analytical grade chemicals and deionized water (MicroMed high purity water system, TKA Wasseraufbereitungssysteme GmbH) were used for the preparation all of solutions. The stock Au(III) solution (1x10^-3 mol/L) was prepared by dissolving Au[HCl, ]J(Alfa Aesar, A Jonson Matthey Company) in demineralized water. A working solution (1x10^-5 mol/L) was obtained by diluting the stock solution with water. A solution of SY (provided by the Pharmaceutical laboratory Zdravlje-Actavis, Leskovac, Serbia), of 1x10^-3 mol/L, was prepared by measuring 0.0226g of substance of analytical grade, and dissolving with deionized water in a volumetric flask of 50 ml. A solution of H$_2$O$_2$ of 2 mol/L was prepared by appropriate dilution of 30% H$_2$O$_2$, (Merck) of reagent in volumetric flask of 50 mL with deionized water. The solution of borate buffer was prepared by appropriate mixing a solution of Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$·10H$_2$O (0.05 mol/L ) and 0.1 mol/L solution of NaOH. All the glassware used was washed with aqueous solution of HCl (1:1) and then thoroughly rinsed with running, distilled water, and then, finally with deionized water.

2.3. Kinetic-Spectrophotometric procedure

Bouderin flask with a four compartment was used for preparing solution (working volume of 5ml) before the start of reaction; a series of standard solution of Au(III) were placed in the first compartment, 0.2 ml of 1x10^-3 mol/L solution of SY in the second. 2 ml borate buffer pH of 10.5 in the third and 1 ml of 2 mol/L H$_2$O$_2$ in the fourth compartment. The solution was kept at 25.0±0.1°C in the thermostated bath, before the mixing and beginning of the reaction. After mixing the reaction mixture was put into the spectrophotometric cell with path-length of 1 cm, and the absorbance at 478.4 nm was measured every 30 s during the first period of 6 min from the beginning of the reaction. The reaction rate was monitored spectrophotometrically. Absorbance measurements have been performed at the wavelength of the absorption maximum of SY at 478.4 nm.

Sample preparation. After the preparation of the sample solution injections containing the Au (III) ions in a concentration of 50 mg/0.5mL, based on the weight of the salt of sodium thio-auro-maleate Na$_3$AuO$_4$, was transferred quantitatively to the volumetric flasks of 50 mL and diluted with demineralized water to line. The concentration of this solution, calculated with respect to the Au (III) ion was 58 mg/mL. From this solution were made series of four different concentrations of Au (III) which are located in the area of the calibration curve: 58.0, 29.1, 14.5, and 4.8 mg/mL.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Optimization of Reaction Variables

Inhibiting effect of Au(III) ion on the rate of reaction oxidation of di-sodium-6-hydroxy-5-[(4-sulfophenyl)azo]-2-naphthalenesulfonic acid (artificial color Sunset Yellow FCF, E110) by hydrogen peroxide in borate buffer was observed during kinetic research of this indicator system (Micic et al. 2009, 2014). In order to determine the lowest possible deteriorable concentration of Au(III), the reaction conditions ( the influence of the pH, SY, hydrogen-peroxide concentrations, buffer volume and temperature) were studied for both, non-inhibited and inhibited reactions. The method of tangents was used and the slope of the linear section absorbance-time curve, dA/dt = tga, was used as a measure of the reaction rate. In this study, for all parameters investigated, the rates of non-inhibited (indicator) and inhibited reaction were simultaneously measured.

Keeping all other experimental parameter constant, the influence of the pH in borate buffer on the rate of the reactions in the range of 8.0 – 11.5 were studied, under concentration of gold(III) of 39.4 μg/mL. The
influence of pH on the reaction rates were separately 
measured with the same method by varying the pH. 
The best results were obtained for the value pH of 
10.5 (Fig. 1), and this value was selected for further 
work. The order for both reactions, are variable in 
investigated interval regarding to pH. In order to 
obtain the rate of reactions regarding to $\text{C}_\text{H}^+$, the 
deference $-\log \text{tg} \alpha / -\log \text{C}_\text{H}^+$ within interval of pH 
9.5-10.5 were constructed. Based on the slope of this 
deference the order of the reactions were calculated. 
Its value for non-inhibited reaction is $-0.9$ and for 
inhibited is $-0.5$. (Fig. 3 and 4). The dependence of 
the reaction rates for both reactions, on the volume of 
borate buffer was also studied. The optimum 
difference between the rate of the non-inhibited 
and inhibited reactions is for volume of 2.0 mL of borate 
buffer, Fig. 4. The dependence of the reaction rates on 
the concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ were investigated over the 
range 0.1–0.8 mol/L, Fig. 5. For further work, a 
concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ of 0.4 mol /L was selected as 
the suitable. The dependence of reaction rates on the 
concentration of SY was investigated over the range 
$1.0x10^{-5}$–$6x10^{-5}$ mol/L. The optimum difference 
between the rate of the non-inhibited and 
inhibited reactions occurred for concentration of $4.0x10^{-5}$ mol/L 
of SY, Fig.6. At higher concentration of SY absorbance significantly increases ($A>1.0$), when error of spectrophotometric measurement becomes higher (area of Beer's low validity). The influence of temperature on the reaction rate was studied in the range $22^\circ$C–$28^\circ$C. The rate of reaction as expected 
increases with temperature. Although higher sensitivity 
could be obtained at the higher reaction temperature, 
for practical reasons measurement were done at 
$25\pm0.1^\circ$C. On the basis of dependence of rates of 
reactions on the concentration of each reactant, kinetic 
equations for non-inhibited (1) and inhibited reactions 
can be derived as follows:

$$-\left(\frac{d\text{C}}{dt}\right) = k_0 \cdot \text{C}_\text{H}^{0.9} \cdot \text{C}_{\text{SY}} \quad (1)$$

$$-\left(\frac{d\text{C}}{dt}\right) = k \cdot \text{C}_\text{H}^{-0.5} \cdot \text{C}_{\text{SY}} \cdot \text{C}_{\text{Au(III)}}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

Where $k_0$ is constant which is proportional to the 
constant rate of non-inhibited reaction, and $k$ is constant 
which is proportional to the constant of inhibited reaction. Based on kinetic equations (1) and 
(2) rate constants were calculated for non-inhibitory

### Table 1. Rate constants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(K)</th>
<th>$k_0 \cdot 10^8$(mol/l)$^{-1}\cdot$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$k \cdot 10^8$(mol/l)$^{-1}\cdot$s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on its value and thermodynamics equations for 
change of Gibbs's energy, enthalpy (H) and entrophy 
(S) can calculated for both processes. Based on this 
results mechanism of examined redox reactions can be 
discussed under given experimental conditions-
concentration of reaction parameters, at room 
temperature and atmospheric pressure in examined 
redox system.

![Fig. 1 The influence of pH.](image)
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Fig. 2 The influence of borate buffer.

Fig. 3 Dependence $-\log tg\alpha/-\log C_{H^+}$ - non-inhibited.

Fig. 4 Dependence $-\log tg\alpha/-\log C_{H^+}$ - inhibited.

Fig. 5 The influence of $H_2O_2$.

Fig. 6 The influence of SY.

Fig. 7 Arrhenius' plot for inhibited reaction.
3.2. Validation of the proposed method

The calibration graph was constructed under the established optimal conditions regarding on sensitivity and reproducibility of the method as follows: \( C_{SY}=4 \times 10^{-5} \text{mol/L}; \ C_{H_2O_2}=0.4 \text{mol/L}; \ \text{pH}=10.5; \ t=25.0 \pm 0.1^\circ \text{C}, \ \lambda_{\text{max}}=478.4 \text{nm}. \) The linearity was obtained within the range 1.97 - 59.07 μg/mL of Au(III). Obtained analytical and statistical data of the calibration graph at 25.0 ± 0.1°C were presented in Table 2.

In order to assess the precision and accuracy of the proposed kinetic method, measurements were done in five replicate determinations at each of four different Au(III) concentrations. Obtained results are show in Table 3.

Table 2. Analytical and statistical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical and Statistical Data</th>
<th>Temperature 25.0 ± 0.1°C</th>
<th>Dynamic range 1.97-59.07 (μg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of points</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection μg /mL</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of quantification μg mL^{-1}</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope x 10^2</td>
<td>-0.0126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept x 10^2</td>
<td>0.8948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>-0.9997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standared error of the slope</td>
<td>1.217x 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standared error of the intercept x 10^2</td>
<td>0.00382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand deviation of the Fit x 10^2</td>
<td>0.00382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical frequency, h^{-1}</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The robustness of proposed method is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate variations in the method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during usage. The most significant variables of the system (temperature and concentration of SY, hydrogen peroxide) were modified in range ±10% (excluding pH, which was modified in range ±5%) from their optimum values. Errors lower than 5 % were observed in all cases.

Table 3. Accuracy and precision of the method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added (μg mL^{-1})</th>
<th>Found (μg mL^{-1})</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>(x-μ)/μ·100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9±0.07</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>19.9±0.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>38.8±0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>58.8±0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) mean value±standard deviation (n=5) \(^{b}\) relative standard deviation; \(^{c}\) – accuracy.

To access the selectivity of the method, the influence of major foreign ions on the inhibited reaction rate was studied, under the reaction conditions mentioned above, at a constant Au(III) concentration of \( C_{\text{Au(III)}}=39.39 \mu \text{g/mL}. \) The results are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Selectivity of the proposed method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio ( C_{\text{other}}/C_{\text{Au(III)}} )</th>
<th>Other ions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Na^+ , K^+ , Cl^-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F^- , Li^+ , Zn^2+ , Al^3+ , Mg^{2+} , V^{5+} , As^{3+} , As^{5+}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SO_4^{2-} , NH_4^+ , CH_3COOH , Sn^{2+} , Sr^{2+} , I^- , CO_2^-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Mo^{6+} , Cr^{3+} , Ba^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Al^{3+}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca^{2+} , NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , C_6H_5O_7^-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Application of the proposed method

In order to evaluate of proposed procedure this kinetic method was applied to the determination of Au(III) ions in pharmacological samples, ampoules Tauredon ® with Au(III) ions concentration of 50 mg/0.5 mL, (Na_2SAuO_4). Obtained results are given in...
Table 5. As can see results obtained by proposed kinetic and comparable ICP-OES method are in good agreement.

Table 5. Application in ampules Tauredon®.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added (μg/mL)</th>
<th>Tauredon® (μg/mL)</th>
<th>Foundc (μg/mL)</th>
<th>RSDb (%)</th>
<th>ICP-OES Founda (μg/mL)</th>
<th>F-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8±0.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.8±0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.4±0.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>14.5±0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>28.8±0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>29.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>57.2±0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>59.1±0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CONCLUSION

In this work fast, simple cost-effective, green analytical kinetic method for gold(III) determination was given. Application of the method was demonstrated in pharmacological ampoules Tauredon®. Method has good analytical performance and could be useful for trace determination of Au(III) ions in different kind of real samples. Thus, the proposed method was found to be robust for routine determination of Au(III) in different real samples.
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