

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF QUANTITATIVE SENSORY TESTING IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC MUSCULOSKELETAL PAIN

Snežana Tomašević-Todorović¹, Stefan Nikolić², Sofija Cvjetković², Nataša Ilić², Tijana Spasojević², Damjan Savić²

Quantitative Sensory Testing (QST) provides objective, reproducible data, which can help confirm a diagnosis or monitor treatment outcomes in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMSP). Quantitative Sensory Testing has the potential to become an integral part of routine clinical evaluations in a variety of chronic musculoskeletal conditions. Quantitative Sensory Testing can detect early sensory changes before they are clinically obvious. Quantitative Sensory Testing procedures are non-invasive, making them suitable for repeated assessments and monitoring over time. The standardization of testing protocols is essential for obtaining reliable results. Impaired Conditioned pain modulation could serve as a biomarker for central sensitization in chronic pain conditions, helping clinicians identify patients whose pain is centrally mediated. Quantitative Sensory Testing has the potential to become an integral part of routine clinical evaluations in a variety of chronic musculoskeletal conditions. Quantitative Sensory Testing is particularly useful for evaluating the impact of physical therapies, exercise programs, and psychological interventions.

Acta Medica Medianae 2025; 64(4): 130–135.

Key words: *central sensitization, chronic pain, musculoskeletal pain*

¹University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Novi Sad, Srbija

²University Clinical Center of Vojvodina, Center for Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Novi Sad, Srbija

Contact: Damjan Savić
17 Dubrovačka St., Novi Sad, Serbia
E-mail: damjan.savic@yahoo.com

Introduction

Chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMSP) is a widespread condition that affects millions globally, contributing significantly to disability and diminishing quality of life. The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) defines musculoskeletal pain as stemming from occupational injuries, repetitive stress, or overuse, encompassing disorders that induce pain in muscles, bones, joints, or adjacent tissues, such as lumbar and cervical pain, tendinopathy, neuropathic syndromes, muscular pain, and stress fractures (1). The IASP further categorizes chronic pain as persistent discomfort that is present for over three months. However, in cases like fibromyalgia or nonspecific low-back pain, it may be reclassified as a standalone condition termed “chronic primary pain”, reflecting its complexity and recognition as a neurological disorder (2).

CMSP, conversely, is termed “chronic secondary pain”, where discomfort initially arises as a symptom of an underlying pathology (2). Current clinical frameworks advocate for a mechanism-based management approach, distinguishing between adaptive and physiological pain, such as nociceptive or inflammatory pain, and maladaptive and pathological pain, such as neuropathic or nociplastic pain, each necessitating tailored therapeutic strategies (3). Notably, research highlights central sensitization, marked by abnormal pain processing, as a critical predictor of poor clinical outcomes in CMSP (4).

Quantitative sensory testing (QST) has emerged as a promising diagnostic tool to objectively evaluate the sensory functions of the nervous system, enhance diagnostic accuracy, and inform treatment strategies in patients with CMSP. Over recent decades, QST has gained significant traction in clinical practice, offering valuable insights into sensory disturbances linked to diverse chronic pain conditions, including osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, chronic low back pain (CLBP), myofascial pain syndrome, and complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) (5–7). Quantitative sensory testing can help clinicians tailor interventions to the specific pain mechanisms present in a patient, such as nociceptive pain, neuropathic pain, or central sensitization (8). Central to chronic pain are the phenomena of peripheral sensitization and central sensitization.

Peripheral sensitization arises from heightened excitability of nociceptors, resulting in hyperalgesia. Quantitative sensory testing detects peripheral sensitization through reduced thermal (heat and cold) pain thresholds (9). Central sensitization is a condition where the central nervous system becomes hyperresponsive to stimuli, which results in increased pain perception and chronic pain states in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain (osteoarthritis, lumbar and cervical syndrome, fibromyalgia, rheumatoid arthritis, complex regional pain syndrome, tendinopathies, etc.) (10–12). Central sensitization represents a mechanism for the development of nociplastic pain. In patients with CMSP, persistent inflammation causing prolonged nociceptive pain increases the risk of nociplastic pain, which may manifest in isolation or alongside other types of pain (13). Quantitative sensory testing identifies central sensitization via temporal summation, where administering rapid, repeated mechanical or thermal stimuli intensifies perceived pain. Importantly, QST-measured central sensitization differs from symptom-based assessments, such as those assessed by the Central sensitization inventory (CSI) (14).

QST Measurement Principles

The QST method allows for the assessment of sensory processing in both large and small afferent nerve fibers, as well as other ascending pathways, by modulating various sensory stimuli (15, 16).

Quantitative sensory testing involves the systematic application of controlled sensory stimuli to assess the integrity of sensory pathways, both peripheral and central. Developed by the German Research Network on Neuropathic Pain, QST serves as a standardized framework for assessing thermal and mechanical sensory functions (Rolke). This approach involves applying a battery of standardized tests that enable clinicians to objectively evaluate somatosensory responses mediated by both large-diameter (A β) and small-diameter (A δ and C) nerve fibers (17).

These stimuli can include mechanical (touch or pressure), thermal (temperature changes), and electrical (nerve conduction) stimuli. The responses are quantitatively measured, typically via threshold detection or response amplitude, allowing for a detailed, objective evaluation of reduced sensory capacity, such as hypoesthesia and hypoalgesia, or increased sensitivity, such as hyperesthesia, hyperalgesia, and allodynia (18).

QST and Central Sensitization

Quantitative sensory testing is a crucial tool for identifying central sensitization through the use of tests like Conditioned Pain Modulation (CPM), Temporal Summation of Pain (TSP), and the wind-up phenomenon.

1. Conditioned Pain Modulation (CPM)

Blunted CPM responses are a key indicator of central sensitization, which is often found in chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions like fibromyalgia or chronic low back pain. A reduced CPM response can signal that the patient's body is not able to inhibit pain signals as it should, leading to increased pain perception. Conditioned Pain Modulation is a reliable test, but its reliability varies depending on the protocols and methodology used. The most reliable test stimulus is the pressure pain threshold, while among the conditioning stimuli, cold water is the most effective (19). Literature data show that the combination of determining the pressure pain threshold with an algometer as the test stimulus and cold water as the conditioning stimulus has demonstrated the highest reliability (20).

Conditioned Pain Modulation testing is significant in determining the pain modulation profile, where individuals with a pronociceptive profile exhibit pain facilitation, indicating a less effective CPM and a higher risk for developing pain conditions (9). Pain modulation profiles are variable throughout life and represent a dynamic phenomenon that can become pronociceptive during pain conditions and later return to normal (21, 22).

2. Temporal Summation and the Wind-Up Phenomenon

Quantitative sensory testing can measure temporal summation (the increasing pain response over time), which can help identify patients who are particularly sensitive to repeated or prolonged stimuli. The wind-up phenomenon refers to an exaggerated pain response when stimuli are presented in rapid succession, which can occur in conditions like myofascial pain syndrome and fibromyalgia. Quantitative sensory testing consists of tests that assess pain thresholds and temporal summation. Using thermal and mechanical modalities, QST can identify patients who are particularly sensitive to repeated or prolonged stimuli. Pain thresholds represent the transition point at which a sensation, such as pressure, becomes painful, while temporal summation describes the progressive escalation in perceived pain following repeated application of stimuli such as heat or pressure (23). Studies suggest that temporal summation reflects endogenous facilitatory pain pathways, characterized by amplified pain perception despite constant stimulus intensity during repeated or prolonged noxious input (24). Wind-up is a phenomenon connected to central sensitization. It is "a frequency-dependent increase in the excitability of spinal cord neurones, evoked by electrical stimulation of afferent C-fibers". In humans, temporal summation of second pain reflects the wind-up phenomenon (25).

Clinical Significance of Quantitative sensory testing

Quantitative sensory testing has significant applications in determining personalized treatment, as well as in monitoring its effectiveness (9, 24).

Quantitative sensory testing can also help clinicians decide whether pharmacological treatments are appropriate (25). If QST indicates the presence of peripheral nociceptive pain, therapies with local anti-inflammatory effects are recommended, such as NSAIDs (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), local injections, or physical therapy (26).

In patients with central sensitization or reduced CPM, medications are recommended that are effective in modulating central sensitization and improving pain perception (duloxetine, gabapentin, or pregabalin). If the pain is centrally mediated, opioid analgesics might be less effective, and non-opioid therapies like antidepressants or anticonvulsants (e.g., gabapentinoids) could be better choices (26).

Before initiating treatment, a baseline QST can help identify pain thresholds, sensory deficits, and central sensitization markers. Monitoring QST after therapy is essential for assessing treatment effectiveness through evaluating pain modulation (e.g., improvement in CPM response, reduced temporal summation, increased pressure pain thresholds) (27, 28).

Some studies show that patients with less effective CPM respond better to certain medications, such as SNRIs (serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors), which enhance descending inhibition, compared to patients with effective CPM (21, 29).

If conditioned pain modulation is impaired, interventions that focus on modulating central pain processing, such as exercises, graded motor imagery (GMI), cognitive-behavioral therapy

(CBT), biofeedback, or peripheral nerve stimulation, should be included (30–34). In patients with central sensitization (hypersensitivity or heightened pain perception), it is necessary to apply treatments targeting pain modulation (e.g., mindfulness-based stress reduction, medication management, exercise therapy targeting central sensitization) (35–37).

In patients with normal pain thresholds, conservative treatments like manual therapy, stretching exercises, or strengthening programs can be effective (38). If a high pain threshold is identified in patients with CMSP, physical therapies and rehabilitation that improve mobility and motor control are highly significant, as well as techniques that enhance sensory processing (e.g., sensory discrimination training) (39).

If a patient undergoes a multidisciplinary program combining exercise therapy and CBT, QST can reveal whether the CPM response improves, suggesting that the treatment is addressing central sensitization effectively. The improvements in mechanical or thermal pain thresholds can indicate recovery or responsiveness to interventions, while worsening thresholds can suggest disease progression (17).

Conclusion

Quantitative Sensory Testing provides a comprehensive tool for understanding the pain processing mechanisms in patients with CMP. By providing insights into how a patient's nervous system processes pain, QST can play an essential role in diagnosing, monitoring, and tailoring treatment strategies. As part of a multidisciplinary approach, QST can significantly enhance the management of chronic musculoskeletal pain.

References

1. IASP. Global year against musculoskeletal pain: epidemiology of musculoskeletal pain [IASP Web site]. 2009. Available at: <http://www.iasp-pain.org/AM/>
2. Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, et al. Chronic pain as a symptom or a disease: the IASP Classification of Chronic Pain for the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11). *Pain* 2019;160(1):19-27. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Pavlakovic G, Petzke F. The role of quantitative sensory testing in the evaluation of musculoskeletal pain conditions. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2010;12(6):455-61. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Lim EC, Sterling M, Stone A, Vicenzino B. Central hyperexcitability as measured with nociceptive flexor reflex threshold in chronic musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review. *Pain* 2011;152(8):1811-20. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Nijs J, George SZ, Clauw DJ, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Kosek E, Ickmans K, et al. Central sensitisation in chronic pain conditions: latest discoveries and their potential for precision medicine. *The Lancet Rheumatology* 2021; 3.5: e383-e392. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Trouvin, Anne-Priscille, Nadine Attal, and Serge Perrot. "Assessing central sensitization with quantitative sensory testing in inflammatory rheumatic diseases: a systematic review." *Joint Bone Spine* 2022; 89(5): 105399. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Clark J, Nijs J, Yeowell G, Goodwin PC. What Are the Predictors of Altered Central Pain Modulation in Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain Populations? A Systematic Review. *Pain Physician* 2017;20(6):487-500. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Jensen OK. On the Use of Quantitative Sensory Testing to Estimate Central Sensitization in Humans. Comment on Schuttert et al. The Definition, Assessment, and Prevalence of (Human Assumed) Central Sensitisation in Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review. *J Clin Med* 2022;11(7):1982. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Granovsky Y, Yarnitsky D. Personalized pain medicine: the clinical value of psychophysical assessment of pain modulation profile. *Rambam Maimonides Med J* 2013;4(4):e0024. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Neelapala YVR, Bhagat M, Frey-Law L. Conditioned Pain Modulation in Chronic Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review of Literature. *Clin J Pain* 2020;36(2):135-41. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Kiliçaslan HÖ, Genç A, Tuncer S. Central sensitization in osteoarthritic knee pain: A cross-sectional study. *Turk J Phys Med Rehabil* 2022;69(1):89-96. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Aleksandar Knezevic, Milena Kovacevic, Milica Jeremic-Knezevic, Zeljka Nikolasevic, Snežana Tomasevic-Todorovic, Zeljko Zivanovic, et al. Patients with neuropathic pain from lumbosacral radiculopathy demonstrate similar pressure pain thresholds and conditioned pain modulation to those with fibromyalgia. *Neurophysiologie Clinique* 2023;53(4): 102841 [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Tomašević-Todorović S, Spasojević T. Central Sensitization in Patients with Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain. *Acta clinica Croatica* 2023;62(Suppl4):102-6. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Neblett R. The central sensitization inventory: A user's manual. *J Appl Biobehav Res* 2018; 23:e12123. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Arendt-Nielsen L, Yarnitsky D. Experimental and clinical applications of quantitative sensory testing applied to skin, muscles and viscera. *J Pain* 2009; 10:556-72. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Chong PST, Cros DP. Technology literature review: Quantitative sensory testing. *Muscle Nerve* 2004;29:734-47. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Rolke R, Baron R, Maier C, Tölle TR, Treede -DR, Beyer A, et al. Quantitative sensory testing in the German Research Network on Neuropathic Pain (DFNS): standardized protocol and reference values. *Pain* 2006;123(3):231-43. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Cruz-Almeida Y, Fillingim RB. Can quantitative sensory testing move us closer to mechanism-based pain management? *Pain Med* 2014;15(1):61-72. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Kennedy DL, Kemp HI, Ridout D, Yarnitsky D, Rice AS. Reliability of conditioned pain modulation: a systematic review. *Pain* 2016;157(11):2410-9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Imai Y, Petersen KK, Morch CD, Arendt Nielsen L. Comparing test-retest reliability and magnitude of conditioned pain modulation using different combinations of test and conditioning stimuli. *Somatosens Mot Res* 2016;33(3-4):169-77. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Yarnitsky D, Granot M, Nahman-Averbuch H, Khamaisi M, Granovsky Y. Conditioned pain modulation predicts duloxetine efficacy in painful diabetic neuropathy. *Pain* 2012;153(6):1193-8. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Kosek E, Ordeberg G. Lack of pressure pain modulation by heterotopic noxious conditioning stimulation in patients with painful osteoarthritis before, but not following, surgical pain relief. *Pain* 2000;88(1):69-78. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Middlebrook N, Heneghan NR, Evans DW, Rushton A, Falla D. Reliability of temporal summation, thermal and pressure pain thresholds in a healthy cohort and musculoskeletal trauma population. *PLoS One* 2020;15(5):e0233521. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Castelo-Branco L, Cardenas-Rojas A, Rebello-Sanchez I, Pacheco-Barrios K, de Melo PS, Gonzalez-Mego P, et al. Temporal Summation in Fibromyalgia Patients: Comparing Phasic and Tonic Paradigms. *Front Pain Res (Lausanne)* 2022;3:881543. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Petersen KK, Kilic K, Hertel E, Sejersgaard-Jacobsen TH, Jørgensen MK, Troelsen A, et al. Quantitative sensory testing as an assessment tool to predict the response to standard pain treatment in knee osteoarthritis: a systematic

- review and meta-analysis. *Pain Rep* 2023;8(4): e1079. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
26. Queremel Milani DA, Davis DD. Pain Management Medications. 2023 Jul 3. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. [\[PubMed\]](#)
27. Santiago V, Janal MN, Cook DB, Raphael KG. Temporal Summation and Aftersensations of Second Pain in Women with Myofascial Temporomandibular Disorder Differ by Presence of Temporomandibular Joint Pain. *J Pain Res.* 2022 Oct 19;15:3275-3286. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
28. Leone C, Truini A. The CPM Effect: Functional Assessment of the Diffuse Noxious Inhibitory Control in Humans. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 2019;36(6):430-6. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
29. Damien J, Colloca L, Bellei-Rodriguez CÉ, Marchand S. Pain Modulation: From Conditioned Pain Modulation to Placebo and Nocebo Effects in Experimental and Clinical Pain. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 2018;139:255-96. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
30. Alsouhibani A, Hoeger Bement M. Impaired conditioned pain modulation was restored after a single exercise session in individuals with and without fibromyalgia. *Pain Rep* 2022;7(3): e996. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
31. Riquelme-Aguado V, Di-Bonaventura S, González-Álvarez ME, Zabarte-Del Campo A, Fernández-Carnero J, Gil-Crujera A, et al. How Does Conditioned Pain Modulation Influence Motor Imagery Processes in Women with Fibromyalgia Syndrome? A Cross-Sectional Study Secondary Analysis. *J Clin Med* 2024;13(23):7339. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
32. Lim JA, Choi SH, Lee WJ, Jang JH, Moon JY, Kim YC, et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for patients with chronic pain: Implications of gender differences in empathy. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018;97(23): e10867. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
33. Sielski R, Rief W, Glombiewski JA. Efficacy of Biofeedback in Chronic back Pain: a Meta-Analysis. *Int J Behav Med* 2017; 24(1): 25–41. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
34. Luna D, Hettie G, Pirrotta L, Salmasi V, Hah JM. Real-world long-term outcomes of peripheral nerve stimulation: a prospective observational study. *Pain Manag* 2025;15(1):37-44. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
35. Zeidan F, Vago DR. Mindfulness meditation-based pain relief: a mechanistic account. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2016;1373(1):114-27. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
36. Tao ZY, Wang PX, Wei SQ, Traub RJ, Li JF, Cao DY. The Role of Descending Pain Modulation in Chronic Primary Pain: Potential Application of Drugs Targeting Serotonergic System. *Neural Plast* 2019; 2019:1389296. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
37. Verbrugghe J, Agten A, Stevens S, Vandenaabeele F, Roussel N, Verbunt J, et al. High intensity training improves symptoms of central sensitization at six-month follow-up in persons with chronic nonspecific low back pain: Secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *Braz J Phys Ther* 2023;27(2):100496. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
38. Domingues L, Pimentel-Santos FM, Cruz EB, Sousa AC, Santos A, Cordovil A, et al. Is a combined programme of manual therapy and exercise more effective than usual care in patients with non-specific chronic neck pain? A randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2019;33(12):1908-18. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
39. Kálin S, Rausch-Osthoff AK, Bauer CM. What is the effect of sensory discrimination training on chronic low back pain? A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2016;17:143. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)

Pregledni rad

UDC: 616.7-009.7:616-07
doi: 10.5633/amm.2025.0416

ZNAČAJ PRIMENE KVANTITATIVNOG SENZORNOG TESTIRANJA KOD PACIJENATA SA HRONIČNIM MUSKULOSKELETNIM BOLOM

Snežana Tomašević Todorović¹, Stefan Nikolić², Sofija Cvjetković², Nataša Ilić²,
Tijana Spasojević², Damjan Savić²

¹Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Medicinski fakultet, Novi Sad, Srbija

²Univerzitetski klinički centar Vojvodine, Centar za fizikalnu medicinu i rehabilitaciju, Novi Sad, Srbija

Kontakt: Damjan Savić
Dubrovačka 17, Novi Sad, Srbija
E-mail: damjan.savic@yahoo.com

Kvantitativno senzorno testiranje (engl. *quantitatory sensory testing* – QST) pruža objektivne, ponovljive podatke koji mogu pomoći u potvrđivanju dijagnoze ili u praćenju ishoda lečenja kod pacijenata sa hroničnim muskuloskeletnim bolom (engl. *chronic musculoskeletal pain* – CMSP). QST može otkriti rane senzorne promene pre nego što one postanu klinički očigledne. Budući da QST procedure nisu invazivne, pogodne su za ponovljene procene i dugotrajno praćenje. Standardizacija protokola testiranja od ključnog je značaja za dobijanje pouzdanih rezultata. Oslabljena modulacija bola uslovljena kondicioniranjem (engl. *conditioned pain modulation* – CPM) mogla bi poslužiti kao biomarker za centralnu senzitivaciju u hroničnim bolnim stanjima i pomoći kliničarima da identifikuju pacijente čiji je bol centralno posredovan. QST ima potencijala da postane sastavni deo rutinskih kliničkih evaluacija u različitim hroničnim mišićno-skeletnim stanjima. QST je posebno značajan u proceni efekta fizikalnih terapija, programa vežbi i psihološke terapije.

Acta Medica Medianae 2025; 64(4): 130–135.

Ključne reči: *centralna senzitivacija, hronični bol, muskuloskeletnim bol*

"This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) Licence".