

INVESTIGATIONS OF TIME AND ECONOMIC DIMENSIONS OF THE COMPLEX PRODUCT PRODUCTION CYCLE

Jelena Jovanović *

Technical College of Applied Studies, Čačak, Serbia

Dr Dragan Milanović

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Milić Radović

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

Radisav Đukić

Office of the Manufacturing and Engineering Management, "Sloboda" Co. Čačak, Serbia

The features of contemporary production process of top organization and management methods are grounded on the principles of economies of times and the principles of lean production, a new philosophy of production. Production should be organized according to the push-pull principle, with minimum inventories, manufacturing only what is really necessary, neither too early, nor too late. The paper presents the design procedure and results of investigations on the production cycle of a complex product included in the production program of "Sloboda" – Čačak Co.

Key words: Complex product, Production cycle, Designing, Coefficient of running time, Current assets

INTRODUCTION

The achievement of Business and Production System (BPS) is largely dependent of adjusting production to the conditions of demand and application of innovative solutions in the sphere of technology, organization and management. To make the price competitive, the costs of business operations should be reduced, the observed losses should be eliminated or reduced to acceptable levels and resources should be engaged accordingly by using the corresponding management methods. Current assets should be engaged to the maximum in the production process, which is determined by the size of the production series, length of production cycle (PC) time, moment and manner of their engagement. The time and economic dimensions of PC should be mastered, so that the system responds promptly, in real time, no matter whether the orders are small-scale, large-scale, standard or special. Investigations of PCs implies a set of activities that have to define optimum production series, calculations for the amount of components required, cycle design, production preparation and launching, management of production activities, with current assets engagement and

the analysis and calculations of the coefficient of material running time.

OPTIMUM PRODUCTION SERIES

To manufacture only what can be sold, to consolidate all requirements in a single spot, to enable flexible and economic production in smaller-scale series; all this represents the first and foremost principle of contemporary production. So, a problem is posed of inventing the relations that will enable the calculations of optimal production series, with minimizing total business operating costs. This problem comes to the fore particularly in series production performed in 'Sloboda' Co. Having in mind that the behavior of costs in series production depends on the volume of production (linear, non-linear, independent), the size of production series should be calculated in such a way that the opposite orientation of the nature of costs is optimally harmonized. This means that the optimum series size (q_o) is characterized by minimal costs per unit of product. Respecting mentioned constraints, the analytical expression for calculations is defined by the relation (1):

$$q_o = \sqrt{\frac{2 C_n Q}{c_1 T}} \quad N = \frac{Q}{q_o} \quad (1)$$

where:

C_n are total fixed costs required to accomplish the order (Q), c_1 are variable costs per unit of product in unit of time (day), T is period of time required to accomplish the delivery, N is the number of optimum-launched series. On the grounds of data from the Company's annual balance sheet, for the year 2011., corresponding technical documentation and relation (1), the optimum size of the production series was calculated, amounting to 3600 pieces.

CALCULATIONS OF THE QUANTITY OF COMPONENTS

The plan of components is the most significant production operational plan. Its creation requires: calculations of optimum production series, drawing of products' hierarchical structure graph (Figure 1), establishment of inventories in unfinished production (warehouses, work tasks), definition of planned technological waste and inventories at the end of the year for the continuity in the production.




Figure 1: Graph of products' hierarchical structure

Planned quantities of components (q_{ijk}) can be calculated using the following formulas:

$$x_{ijk} : q_{ijk}^{(1)} = m_{ijk} \cdot Q_i = n_{ijk} \cdot q_{ij} \quad (2)$$

$$x_{ijk} : q_{ijk}^{(2)} = \frac{n_{ijk} \cdot q_{ij}}{1 - \check{S}_{ijk}} = \frac{m_{ijk} \cdot Q_i}{\prod(1 - \check{S}_{ijk})} \quad (3)$$

$$x_{ijk} : q_{ijk}^{(3)} = \frac{n_{ijk} \cdot q_{ij} - q_{ijk}^M}{1 - \check{S}_{ijk}} - q_{ijk}^{RN} \quad (4)$$

$$x_{ijk} : q_{ijk}^{(4)} = \frac{n_{ijk} \cdot q_{ij} - q_{ijk}^M}{1 - \check{S}_{ijk}} - k \cdot q_{ijk}^{RN} \quad (5)$$

where: x_{ijk} is the component designation, q_{ijk} are planned quantities of components, \check{S}_{ijk} is the planned waste, q_{ijk}^M are quantities of components in a warehouse, q_{ijk}^{RN} are quantities of components in launched work tasks, k is the coefficient that takes into account work task accomplishment level (per cent), m_i is the quantity of the i -th component in a final article, n_i is the quantity of the i -th component in the first superior level of a hierarchical scheme. For the optimum quantity of 3600 pieces of a complex product, using the corresponding formulas (2) – (5), calculations were

made for the quantity of components required for further analysis (Table 2).

PRODUCTION CYCLE DESIGN

The technological (ideal) PC comprises the time required to perform all operations (t_i) according to the technological procedure on all products of the optimum series (q_o). The workpiece movement plays important role in calculating the technological cycle, where movement procedures can be consecutive (6), parallel (7) and combined (8, 9). Combined movements are most commonly encountered in a series production.

$$T_{tu} = q_o \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m t_i \quad (6)$$

$$T_{tp} = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i + t_{i\max} (q_o - 1) \quad (7)$$

$$T_{tk} = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i + (q_o - 1) \left(\sum_k t_k - \sum_j t_j \right) \quad (8)$$

$$k : t_{k-1} < t_k \geq t_{k+1} \quad j : t_{j-1} \geq t_j < t_{j+1} \quad (9)$$

The complexity of a product imposes multi-level approach to the analysis and design of PCs, be-

cause production interferes with the assembly of units, sub-units and final article, so that parallel PC proceeding is possible by the stages of manufacturing and assembly. Using above presented considerations, calculations of the PC length for each operation will be made according to formula (10), in compliance with the adopted work organization, while PC for components will be calculated based on a combined workpiece movement applying the relations (11) and (12).

$$\tau(pf)_i = \frac{q_{pf}}{q_{S_i} \cdot S_{n_i} \cdot r_{m_i} \cdot P_{n_i}} \quad (10)$$

$$T_{pf} = \tau(pf)_1 + (n_{opf} - 1) \cdot \tau + \sum_p (\tau_p - \tau_{p-1}) \quad (11)$$

$$p : \tau_p > \tau_{p-1} \quad (12)$$

The designed PC length of a complex product can be determined using a network diagram, a gantogram (Figures 3 and 4) or calculations to define the longest path in a complex-product-structure graph (Figure 1) in compliance with relation (13):

$$T_{cp} = \max \{ T_{(i-j)}, (i-j) = 1, l \} \quad (13)$$

where: $T(pf)_i$ is PC length of the i -th operation of the observed production stage by days, pf is the designation of the production stage (component), q_{pf} is planned quantity p_p , q_{S_i} is the capacity in a shift of the i -th operation, S_{n_i} is the number of work shifts during the day on the i -th operation, r_{m_i} is the number of workplaces where production of the i -th operation is organized, p_{n_i} is norm accomplishment on the i -th operation, T_{pf} is the designed PC length pf , $T(pf)_1$ is the designed PC length of the first operation of the observed pf , n_{opf} is the number of operations pf (from the technological procedure), p is the designation of the operation that satisfies the condition: $T_p > T_{p-1}$, T_{cp} is the designed PC length, $T_{(i-j)}$ is the PC length of production stages found on the $(i-j)$ -th path of a complex-product structure (i is the designation of the graph initial node, j is the designation of the graph terminal node), l is the total number of paths in a graph that connect the initial with the terminal nodes, τ is average backup time between operations (compensation for all losses in PC).

PRODUCTION CYCLE ANALYSIS AND CALCULATIONS OF THE COEFFICIENT OF RUNNING TIME

Unlike the technological (T_{ci}) and designed PC ($T_{c opt}$) length, the actual (T_{cs}) length, apart from production (technological) time, includes PC non-production time and disruptions that cause losses G_c (Figure 2). In most cases PC disruptions are the result of inconsistency of production processes, bottlenecks in production, shortage of material, tools and energy, poor organization and handling of workplaces, stoppages due to machine breakdown, tool failure and lack of discipline in workers.




Figure 2: Production cycle duration

On the grounds of designed operation cycles (T_{cp}) and components involved in a complex product, production documentation was launched. The designed but also subsequently accomplished dates of the initiation and termination of production are recorded in a production date chart, a constituent part of work tasks. These data were used to determine the actual PC lengths (T_{cs}) (Tab. 1) and the coefficients of material running time (K_p) were calculated applying the relation (14).

$$K_p = \frac{T_{cs}}{T_{cp}}, \quad K_p = 1 + \frac{G_c}{T_{cp}} \quad (14)$$

The coefficient of running time indicates how much the actual PC length is longer than the designed one. Table 1 shows the designed and actual PC lengths of all production stages of the analyzed complex product, losses in the cycle and corresponding values of the running time coefficient. On the grounds of the PC designed, $T_{cp} = 96$ days, and actual, $T_{cs} = 122$ days, length, the running time coefficient of a complex product $K_p = 1.27$ was established.

Table 1: PC lengths (T_{cp} and T_{cs}), losses in the cycle and coefficient of material running time

T_{cp}, K_p, T_{cs}		Designd PC length T_{cp} (days)	Actual PC lengths T_{cs} (days)	Losses in the cycle (%)	Coefficient of running time K_p
Production stage					
1	2	3	4	$5=(4-3)/4$	$6=4/3$
Elements	E_1	7	9	22	1.29
	E_2	14	19	26	1.36
	E_3	14	18	22	1.29
	E_4	12	17	29	1.42
	E_5	14	20	30	1.43
	E_6	40	51	22	1.28
	E_7	13	17	24	1.31
	E_8	14	19	26	1.36
sub-assemblies	P_1	17	20	15	1.18
	P_2	16	20	20	1.25
assemblies	SK_1	12	14	14	1.17
	SK_2	24	30	20	1.25
final assembly	A	19	21	10	1.11

Note: T_{cs} value was established on the grounds of production monitoring and analysis of production and plan documentation




Figure 3: Gantt diagram – The latest beginning




Figure 4: Gantt diagram – the earliest beginning

CURRENT ASSETS ENGAGEMENT

The basic purpose of current assets is to finance the production process, i.e., to settle current obligations, to supply the material and to pay salaries. Unlike fixed assets partially spent in the production process, current assets are a part of business assets that are entirely spent in the production process and their overall value is transferred onto the product. Current assets can be engaged in the production process in a smaller- or larger-scale, depending on the production series size, time period, moment and manner of engagement. Business operating costs (T_p) can be calculated using the formula (15):

$$T_p = T_m + T_r + T_o = T_m + T_r + O \cdot T_p \Rightarrow T_p = \frac{T_m + T_r}{1 - O} \quad (15)$$

Other costs (T_o) are divided into variable and constant, relation (16):

$$T_o = O \cdot T_p = T_{ov} + T_{oc} = 0,2 \cdot T_o + 0,8 \cdot T_o \quad (16)$$

Using previous formula, one can derive the formula for calculating the value of norm-hours for other variable costs ($VN\check{C}_{ov}$):

$$T_{ov} = \sum W_{ov_i} \cdot q_i^{(4)} = \sum t_i \cdot q_i^{(4)} \cdot (VN\check{C})_{ov} \Rightarrow W_{ov} = t_i \cdot (VN\check{C})_{ov} \Rightarrow (VN\check{C})_{ov} = \frac{T_{ov}}{\sum t_i \cdot q_i^{(4)}} \quad (17)$$

Current assets engaged prior to the beginning of production (point P, Fig. 5) amount to 17 093 264 dinars, relation (18):

$$P = T_{OC} + \sum_i (q_i^{(2)} - q_i^{(4)}) \cdot (W_{r_i} + W_{ov_i}) + \sum_i (q_i^{(2)} - q_i^{(3)}) \cdot W_{m_i} \quad (18)$$

Current assets engagement depending on the actual PC length will be calculated using the gantograms (Figures 3 and 4) and relation (19).

$$O_{si} = T_{m_i} + a_i \cdot X_i, a_i = \frac{T_{r_i} + T_{ov_i}}{T_{cs_i}}, X_i = 1, 2, \dots, T_{cs_i} \quad (19)$$

Results are presented in Tab. 3, correlation coefficient and regression curves are defined by relations (20) and (21), and diagrams of current assets engagement are given in Figures 5 and 6.

Table 2: Parameters for determining total and variable business operating costs

Order Number	Production stage			$q_i^{(3)}$ (piece)	$q_i^{(4)}$ (piece)	t_i (hourly rates/ piece)	$q_i^{(4)*t_i}$ (hourly rates)	W_{mi} (din/ piece)	W_{ri} (din/ piece)	$W_{oi} = t_i * (VNČ)_{ov}$	T_{mi} (din)	T_{ri} (din)	T_{ovi} (din)	$T_{vi(din)}$
	Mark	n_i	m_i											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8=6*7	9	10	11=7*VNČ	12=5*9	13=6*10	14=6*11	15=12+13+14
1.	A	1	1	2587	3091	0.375	1159.125	320	75	4.875	827840	231825	15068.625	1074733.63
2.	S ₁	1	1	1553	2571	0.3375	867.7125	60	67.5	4.3875	93180	173542.5	11280.263	278002.76
3.	S ₂	2	2	3014	5030	0.475	2389.25	276	95	6.175	831864	477850	31060.250	1340774.25
4.	P ₁	1	1	536	2112	0.425	897.6	180	85	5.525	96480	179520	11668.800	287668.80
5.	P ₂	2	4	1850	8023	0.45	3610.35	200	90	5.85	370000	722070	46934.550	1139004.55
6.	E ₁	0.2	0.2	320	526	0.275	144.65	15.75	55	3.575	5040	28930	1880.450	35850.45
7.	E ₂	3	3	1608	6335	0.125	791.875	94	25	1.625	151152	158375	10294.375	319821.38
8.	K ₁	1	1	1553	2571	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.00
9.	E ₃	4	4	0	6287	0.1375	864.4625	6.6	27.5	1.7875	0	172892.5	11238.013	184130.51
10.	E ₄	3	3	0	5004	0.051	255.204	25.5	10.2	0.663	0	51040.8	3317.625	54358.45
11.	K ₂	1	1	536	2112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.00
12.	K ₃	1	1	2587	3091	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.00
13.	E ₅	0.04	0.16	0	229	5	1145	175.4	1000	65	0	229000	14885.000	243885.00
14.	K ₄	2	8	0	16046	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.00
15.	E ₆	7	28	0	41953	0.061	2559.133	42	12.2	0.793	0	511826.6	33268.729	545095.33
16.	K ₅	3	6	9042	15090	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.00
17.	E ₇	2	4	1900	8116	0.1125	913.05	117	22.5	1.4625	222300	182610	11869.650	416779.65
18.	E ₈	0.05	0.05	76	126	10.5	1323	1967.4	2100	136.5	149522.4	264600	17199.000	431321.40
TOTAL:														
8.5035														
16920.41														
4070														
1701														
111														
2747378														
3384082														
219965														
6351426														

Table 3: Dynamics and amount of current assets engagement in the latest and earliest beginning

Or. nu.	Day	The latest beginning		The latest beginning	
		Current assets (O _s)	Cumulative O _s	Current assets (O _s)	Cumulative O _s
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	0	17093264.4	17093264.4	17621278.8	17621278.8
2.	15	160322.2	17253586.5	1102684.4	18723963.2
3.	31	171010.3	17424596.8	607533.2	19331496.4
4.	38	160176.8	17584773.6	234913.1	19566409.5
5.	49	251706.3	17836479.9	262787.5	19829196.9
6.	51	439421.3	18275901.2	417779.5	20246976.5
7.	60	757835.2	19033736.4	359253.7	20606230.1
8.	71	1787147.2	20820883.6	1254816.5	21861046.6
9.	82	538927.4	2135981.0	186600.4	22047647.1
10.	92	574364.0	21934175.0	169636.8	22217283.8
11.	101	1263621.9	23197796.9	980513.1	2319796.9
12.	111	117568.4	23315365.3	117568.4	23315365.3
13.	122	129325.2	23444690.5	129325.2	23444690.5

$$Os(t) = 1.71753 \cdot 10^7 - 11185.1 \cdot t + 70.5965 \cdot t^2 + 18.0496 \cdot t^3 - 0.118619 \cdot t^4, R=0.994 \quad (20)$$

$$Os(t) = 1.76848 \cdot 10^7 + 71953.6 \cdot t - 1097.54 \cdot t^2 + 17.1497 \cdot t^3 - 0.0806001 \cdot t^4, R=0.993 \quad (21)$$




Figure 5: Diagram of current assets engagement (Os) as a function of time (Tcs), the latest beginning




Figure 6: Diagram of current assets engagement (Os) as a function of time (Tcs), the earliest beginning

CONCLUSIONS

Respecting technical, technological, production and plan documentation and graph theory the paper describes the hierarchical structure of a complex product (Fig. 1). The oriented graph represents a basis for applying the algorithm that synthesizes the processes of optimization, planning, designing and analysis of PC of a complex product and components it is made up of. The systems for weaponry and military equipment production have a specific position and role in the economic environment of the Republic of Serbia. Threats to survival, uncertain trends of changes in the environment, a host of constraints, globalization of business operations and impact of diverse markets impose to 'Sloboda' – Cacak Co. two key dimensions of the strategy: forecasting and risking. Viewed within this context, the principle of economies of times in the manufacturing domain requires thorough investigation and mastering of time and economic dimensions of PCs. The coefficient of time of a complex product is at the satisfactory level (1.27) having in mind the designed and actual PC length (96 and 122 days). Taking into account the scale of uncompleted production, this coefficient value was expected to be lower. The diagrams of assets engagement for two diametrically opposed manners of production organization (Figs 3 and 4) are similar, which indicates a great value of inventories in unfinished production process (point P, Figure 5) amounting to 73%.

REFERENCES

- 1) Curović, D., Vasić, B., Popović, V., Curović, N.: Ekspertska planiranje proizvodnje, (2008) Journal of Applied Engineering Science (Istraživanja i projektovanja u privredi), no. 20, p.49-57
- 2) Djukic R., Milanovic D., Klarin M., Jovanovic J., Determinants of the dynamic managing of the BPS, Tehnika i praksa, No 1, VSTSS Cacak, Cacak, 2010.
- 3) Eckert C., Clarkson P., Planning development processes for complex products, Research in Engineering Design, Vol. 21(3), p153-171, 2010.
- 4) Jovanovic J., Milanovic D. D., Djukic R. et al., Analysis of the production cycle and the dynamics of the use of working capital, Tehnika i praksa, No 6, VSTSS Cacak, Cacak, 2011.
- 5) Uskoković, P.: Planiranje - jedna od osnovnih aktivnosti menadžmenta, (2009) Journal of Applied Engineering Science (Istraživanja i projektovanja za privredu), no. 8, p. 33-41

Paper sent to revision: 30.08.2012.

Paper ready for publication: 26.09.2012.